



The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

637.MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROMES - CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL

Psychometric Validation and Meaningful Change Threshold Determination of EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning and Promis SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a Functional Domain in Patients with High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes Treated with Venetoclax and Azacitidine

Roger M. Lyons, MD¹, Brandon Foster², Adrian Jewett², Liu Liu², Rohini Sen³, Cat N. Bui, PharmD, PhD³, Rajesh Kamalakar, MS³, Jalaja Potluri³

¹Department of Hematology, Texas Oncology and US Oncology Research, San Antonio, TX

²Lumanity, Bethesda, MD

³AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, IL

Background: Patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) can experience fatigue, infection, anemia, bruising, and bleeding. Fatigue and physical functioning (PF) are often the most adversely impacted areas of patient health-related quality of life. The objective of this study was to generate quantitative evidence to determine whether the PF score from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) and the Fatigue score from the Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System - Fatigue Short Form 7a (PROMIS SF 7a) measures are suitable candidates for developing patient-reported endpoints for clinical trials in treatment-naïve patients with higher-risk MDS (HR-MDS). We also aimed to derive definitions for meaningful change for both PF and Fatigue scores to aid in interpretation of efficacy analyses using these scores.

Methods: This analysis used aggregate data from the Phase 3 M15-954 study (NCT04401748), which is an ongoing, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating the safety and efficacy of venetoclax in combination with azacitidine in treatment-naïve adult patients with HR-MDS. The EORTC QLQ-C30 and the PROMIS SF 7a questionnaires were administered on the first day of each 28-day cycle for the first 7 cycles and every 3 cycles thereafter, and at the treatment-completion visit. For both measures, the analyses evaluated item characteristics at baseline, whether the hypothesized structure was consistent with the scoring algorithms implemented in the trial, and the reliability and validity of scores; subsequently, definitions for meaningful improvement or deterioration were determined. Anchor-based analyses were used to generate definitions for meaningful deterioration or improvement from baseline to Cycle 4 Day 1 for EORTC QLQ-C30 PF domain, and Cycle 7 Day 1 for PROMIS SF 7a. Construct-aligned anchors were used for these analyses, with a change in Patient Global Impression of Severity (PGIS) - Physical Activities and in Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC) - Physical Activities used as the anchor for the analyses of the EORTC QLQ-C30 PF scores and PGIS-Fatigue and PGIC-Fatigue used for the analyses of the PROMIS SF 7a scores.

Results: Approximately 500 treatment-naïve higher-risk patients with MDS enrolled in the study were included in this analysis. Both EORTC QLQ-C30 PF and PROMIS SF 7a measures demonstrated adequate reliability and validity; both measures exceeded the cut-off for acceptable internal consistency reliability, test re-test reliability, and convergent validity with co-validators (**Table 1**). The definition for deterioration for the EORTC QLQ-C30 PF domain was -13.33 (rounded to the nearest possible incremental change score), which was corroborated with the PGIC-Physical Activities (**Table 2**). The correlation between the EORTC QLQ-C30 PF domain and PGIS-Physical Activities scores was -0.43 , and the correlation between the EORTC QLQ-C30 PF domain and PGIC-Physical Activities scores was -0.34 , indicating that these measures were sufficient to be used as anchors. For PROMIS SF 7a, the definition for deterioration and improvement was 3.90 and -3.50 , respectively. Both results were also corroborated with the PGIC-Fatigue. The correlation between the PROMIS SF 7a and PGIS-Fatigue scores was 0.58 , and the correlation between the PROMIS SF 7a and PGIC-Fatigue scores was 0.38 , indicating that these measures were sufficient to be used as anchors.

Conclusions: The psychometric evaluation of EORTC QLQ-C30 PF and PROMIS SF 7a Fatigue scores from M15-954 study's blinded data provides sufficient evidence of test re-test reliability, construct validity, responsiveness, and score interpretability and supports their use as endpoints for clinical trials in treatment-naïve HR-MDS patients for regulatory decision-making.

Disclosures Lyons: *Incyte Corporation:* Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding, Speakers Bureau; *Exact Sciences:* Research Funding; *Pfizer:* Research Funding; *Bayer:* Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Speakers Bureau; *Amgen:* Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Speakers Bureau; *Astellas Pharma:* Research Funding; *Texas Oncology:* Current holder of *stock options* in a privately-held company; *McKesson:* Other: Leadership; *Lessen:* Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees. **Foster:** *Lumarity:* Current Employment. **Jewett:** *Lumarity:* Current Employment. **Liu:** *Lumarity:* Current Employment. **Sen:** *AbbVie Inc:* Current Employment, Current holder of *stock options* in a privately-held company. **Bui:** *AbbVie Inc:* Current Employment, Current holder of *stock options* in a privately-held company. **Kamalakar:** *AbbVie Inc:* Current Employment, Current holder of *stock options* in a privately-held company. **Potluri:** *AbbVie:* Current Employment, Current holder of *stock options* in a privately-held company.

Table 1. Summary of psychometric results for the EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain and the PROMIS SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a compared with performance criterion

Psychometric component	Baseline criterion	Summary of EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain results	Summary of the PROMIS SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a results
Reliability	None estimates reported	Internal reliability point 16 Range group scores are low throughout the scale	Internal reliability measure point 16 Range group scores are low throughout the scale
Response distributions	None estimates reported	Endorse four affect observed on item 6 (S1) Patients use high or physical functioning	Endorse four affect observed on item 5 (S1 and S2) Patients use a moderate level of fatigue the more and more or using affect
Descriptive summary of scores	None estimates reported	Correlations within expected range	Correlations within expected range and direction to items 1-5 observed
Item-to-total correlations	0.30 to 0.40	Acceptable moderate	Acceptable moderate
Assessment of structure	Acceptable moderate	Acceptable moderate	Acceptable moderate
Internal consistency	0.80 to 0.90	0.81 to 0.90 and 0.80	0.80 to 0.90 and 0.80
Test-retest reliability	0.70 to 0.80	0.81 to 0.90 and 0.80	0.80 to 0.90 and 0.80
Coverage validity	0.70 to 0.80 and showing the expected direction of correlation with the expected measure	0.81 to 0.90 and 0.80	0.80 to 0.90 and 0.80
Mean group validity	0.70 to 0.80 and showing the expected direction of correlation with the expected measure	0.81 to 0.90 and 0.80	0.80 to 0.90 and 0.80
Minimum detectable change (MDC) and responsiveness to change	None estimates reported	MDC = 1.00 to 1.50 points Only 10-15% significantly deteriorated between 0L and Cycle 7 Day 1	MDC = 1.50 to 2.00 points Only 10-15% significantly deteriorated between 0L and Cycle 7 Day 1
Anchor-based estimates to assess meaningful deterioration	None estimates reported	Meaningful change = 10.33 points	Meaningful change = 10.33 points

Table 2. Descriptive summaries for the meaningful deterioration from baseline of the EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain or meaningful change from baseline of the PROMIS SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a

Meaningful deterioration of the EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain stratified by change in PGIS-Physical Activities				
Anchor group	n	Median	Mean (SD)	
-2	8	13.33	14.17 (14.00)	
-1	38	10.00	8.42 (10.63)	
0	101	0.00	0.46 (13.67)	
1	36	-6.67	-10.00 (14.12)	
2	12	-6.67	-9.44 (16.93)	
3	2	-23.33	-23.33 (4.71)	
4	1	-13.33	-13.33 (NA)	
Meaningful deterioration of the EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain stratified PGIC-Physical Activities ratings at Cycle 4 Day 1				
Anchor group	n	Median	Mean (SD)	
Much better	42	-6.67	6.73 (13.25)	
A little better	71	0.00	4.41 (17.24)	
No change	59	0.00	-2.60 (16.56)	
A little worse	23	-6.67	-8.99 (21.24)	
Much worse	3	-13.33	-13.33 (6.67)	
Meaningful change of the PROMIS SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a stratified by the change in PGIS-Fatigue				
Anchor group	n	Median	Mean (SD)	
-3	1	-27.50	-27.50 (NA)	
-2	7	-8.33	-8.58 (3.44)	
-1	39	-3.50	-4.50 (6.44)	
0	57	0.00	-1.32 (7.16)	
1	26	3.90	4.00 (6.62)	
2	2	12.70	12.70 (6.80)	
3	1	37.90	37.90 (NA)	
Meaningful change of the PROMIS SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a stratified by PGIC-Fatigue ratings at Cycle 7 Day 1				
Anchor group	n	Median	Mean (SD)	
Much better	44	-8.00	-6.04 (7.17)	
A little better	39	-1.40	-1.18 (7.34)	
No change	38	0.00	0.39 (6.68)	
A little worse	12	2.20	3.23 (10.02)	

Spearman correlation between the change in EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain and the change in PGIS-Physical Activities was -0.43.
Spearman correlation between the change in EORTC QLQ-C30 Physical Functioning Domain and PGIC-Physical Activities ratings was -0.34.
Spearman correlation between the change in PROMIS SF v1.0-Fatigue 7a and the change in PGIS-Fatigue was 0.58.
Spearman correlation between the change in PROMIS Fatigue - Short Form 7a and PGIC-Fatigue ratings was 0.38.

Figure 1

<https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-178011>